**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING** – County of Santa Cruz  
MENTAL HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD  
**JULY 20, 2023** 3:00 PM-5:00 PM  
HEALTH SERVICES AGENCY  
1400 EMELINE AVENUE, BLDG K, ROOMS 206-207 SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060  
THE PUBLIC MAY JOIN THE MEETING BY CALLING (831) 454-2222, CONFERENCE ID 985 180 082#

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Xaloc Cabanes</th>
<th>Valerie Webb</th>
<th>Michael Neidig</th>
<th>Antonio Rivas</th>
<th>Jennifer Wells Kaupp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st District</td>
<td>2nd District</td>
<td>3rd District</td>
<td>4th District</td>
<td>5th District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Chatham</td>
<td>Stephen T. Busath</td>
<td>Hugh McCormick</td>
<td>Celeste Gutierrez</td>
<td>Jeffrey Airt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st District</td>
<td>2nd District</td>
<td>3rd District</td>
<td>4th District</td>
<td>5th District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Felipe Hernandez</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Supervisor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tiffany Cantrell-Warren</th>
<th>Karen Kern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral Health Director</td>
<td>Behavioral Health Deputy Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STELLA PEUSE – YOUTH REPRESENTATIVE**

**IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING PARTICIPATION IN THE MENTAL HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD MEETING**

The public may attend the meeting at the Health Services Agency, 1400 Emeline Avenue, Room 207, Santa Cruz. Individuals interested in joining virtually may **Click here to join the meeting** or may participate by telephone by calling (831) 454-2222, Conference ID 985 180 082#. All participants are muted upon entry to prevent echoing and minimize any unintended disruption of background sounds. This meeting will be recorded and posted on the Mental Health Advisory Board website.

If you are a person with a special need, or if interpreting services (English/Spanish or sign language) are needed, please call 454-4611 (Hearing Impaired TDD/TTY: 711) at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting in order to make arrangements. Persons with disabilities may request a copy of the agenda in an alternative format.

Si usted es una persona con una discapacidad o necesita servicios de interpretación (inglés/español o Lenguaje de señas), por favor llame al (831) 454-4611 (Personas con Discapacidad Auditiva TDD/TTY: 711) con 72 horas de anticipación a la junta para hacer arreglos. Personas con discapacidades pueden pedir una copia de la agenda en una forma alternativa.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>3:00 Regular Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 Min</td>
<td>• Roll Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Public Comment (No action or discussion will be undertaken today on any item raised during Public Comment period except that Mental Health Board Members may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed. Limited to 3 minutes each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Board Member Announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Approval of June 15, 2023 and June 16, 2023 minutes*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Secretary’s Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 3:15 Standing Reports                                                                 |
| 2  | 15 Min | Board of Supervisors Report – Supervisor Felipe Hernandez                               |
| 3  | 15 Min | Behavioral Health Report – Karen Kern, Deputy Director of Behavioral Health             |
|    |        | • Public Comment for MHSA Innovation Project                                           |
| 4  | 15 Min | Ad Hoc Committees – Discuss committees for the upcoming year                           |
| 5  | 10 Min | Patients’ Rights Report – George Carvalho, Patients’ Rights Advocate for Advocacy, Inc.|

| 4:10 New Agenda Items                                                                 |
| 6  | 45 Min | • Grand Jury Report Review and Discussion                                              |
|    |        | • Co-Chair Vacancy Discussion                                                         |
|    |        | • Change meeting schedule – move third Thursday in December to third Thursday in November* |
|    |        | • Change agenda format – Presentations before Standing Reports                        |

| 4:55 Future Agenda Items                                                                 |
| 5:00 Adjourn                                                                            |

Italicized items with * indicate action items for board approval.

NEXT MENTAL HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD MEETING IS ON:
AUGUST 17, 2023  ♦  3:00 PM – 5:00 PM
HEALTH SERVICES AGENCY
1400 EMELINE AVENUE, BLDG K, ROOMS 206-207
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060
MENTAL HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD
JUNE 15, 2023  ♦  3:00 PM - 5:00 PM
1400 EMELINE AVENUE, ROOMS 206-207, SANTA CRUZ
Microsoft Teams Meeting (831) 454-2222, Conference 478 205 512#

Present: Antonio Rivas, Celeste Gutierrez, Jeffrey Arlt, Jennifer Wells Kaupp, Laura Chatham,
Michael Neidig, Valerie Webb, Xaloc Cabanes, Supervisor Felipe Hernandez
Excused: Hugh McCormick, Stephen Busath
Staff: Karen Kern, Jane Batoon-Kurovski

I. Roll Call – Quorum present. Meeting called to order at 3:02 p.m. by Chair Xaloc Cabanes.

II. Public Comments
   • Perry Spencer – provided a flyer for the Vet Art Pop Up 2 Peace Arts Café in Santa
     Cruz on August 4th, Cooper Street, 2:30pm to 9pm. All are invited to this family
     friendly event.
   • Public member stated he made a complaint against MHCAN. He said they are failing
     as they are throwing people out and the Board needs to look at these organizations
     and make sure they are doing a better job and have higher standards.
   • Public member commended the MHAB and BH division for their dedication and
     commitment to providing mental health services in our community. The public
     member asked the following questions for the BH Division:
     1. One organization responded to RFP for psychiatric healthcare facility. Is Telecare
        running it next year? Is that why minors won’t be accepted there as of July 1st
        due to the new contract?
     2. How is the interim facility in Watsonville coming along?
     3. If the County gets the Children’s Unit for Crisis, who is going to run it?

III. Board Member Announcements
   ▪ Retreat on Friday the 16th at 10am
   ▪ Reminder to board members to not “Reply to All” as outside communication for all
     MHAB members is forbidden per the Brown Act
   ▪ Grand Jury Report – the MHAB will take the time to review and respond together as
     a Board.
   ▪ Regarding Member-At-Large: need to figure out how various voices can be
     represented. Article in the Lookout says that over 70% on the boards and
     commissions are people from Westside Santa Cruz, are Caucasian and own their
     homes. The Chair stated he would like the MHAB to be at the forefront of doing
     everything possible to have the best representation on this board, and look forward
     to discussing possible barriers (work, childcare, etc.)
IV. Business / Action Items

A. Approve March 16, 2023 Minutes
Motion/Second: Laura Chatham / Michael Neidig
Ayes: Antonio Rivas, Jeffrey Arlt, Jennifer Wells Kaupp, Laura Chatham, Michael Neidig, Valerie Webb, Xaloc Cabanes
Absent: Celeste Gutierrez (joined at 3:41pm), Hugh McCormick, Stephen Busath, Supervisor Hernandez (joined at 3:41pm)
Motion passed.

B. Approve April 20, 2023 Minutes
Motion/Second: Jennifer Wells Kaupp / Jeffrey Arlt
Ayes: Antonio Rivas, Jeffrey Arlt, Jennifer Wells Kaupp, Laura Chatham, Michael Neidig, Xaloc Cabanes
Abstain: Valerie Webb
Absent: Celeste Gutierrez (joined at 3:41pm), Hugh McCormick, Stephen Busath, Supervisor Hernandez (joined at 3:41pm)
Motion passed.

C. Approve May 18, 2023 Minutes
Motion/Second: Michael Neidig / Valerie Webb
Ayes: Antonio Rivas, Jeffrey Arlt, Jennifer Wells Kaupp, Laura Chatham, Michael Neidig, Valerie Webb
Abstain: Xaloc Cabanes
Absent: Celeste Gutierrez (joined at 3:41pm), Hugh McCormick, Stephen Busath, Supervisor Hernandez (joined at 3:41pm)
Motion passed.

D. Vote to approve the Sweeps letter and send it to the Board of Supervisors.
Motion/Second: Michael Neidig / Antonio Rivas
Ayes: Antonio Rivas, Celeste Gutierrez, Jeffrey Arlt, Jennifer Wells Kaupp, Laura Chatham, Michael Neidig, Valerie Webb, Xaloc Cabanes, Supervisor Hernandez
Absent: Hugh McCormick, Stephen Busath,
Motion passed.

V. Reports
A. Secretary’s Report
• All board members have completed the required Ethics training.
• Training announcement – CalBHBC has a training tomorrow.

B. Board of Supervisors Report – Supervisor Felipe Hernandez
  ▪ Budget hearings are done. All items previously discussed have been funded, including the Bay Federal Building for youth.
  ▪ Santa Cruz County, Monterey County and City of Watsonville collaborated and received $8 million for the tiny home project in South County.
  ▪ There are preliminary discussions with Watsonville Community Hospital for additional beds. More information to be shared later.
  ▪ Kaiser will not buy property in Live Oak as they have an interest to expand into Monterey County by 2025-2026. Their plan is to expand services in Watsonville and then expand to Monterey County in 2026.
  ▪ County CAO Office launched a program called Santa Cruz Like Me. The program is trying to encourage the community to apply for advisory bodies and commissions. Folks appointed to commissions will receive a stipend.
  ▪ Creation of a young Supervisors Academy – 4-week program for students who are junior, senior, or first-year local college students. The students will be taken to different departments within the county and throughout the community, to create new leaders and expose them to board meetings. The program will end with a mock Board of Supervisors meeting where students represent supervisors for their districts and work on an issue they feel strongly about, talk about the budget, etc.
C. Behavioral Health Report – Karen Kern, Behavioral Health Deputy Director

- Crisis Continuum update – June 30th will be the last day youth can go to the current Crisis Stabilization Program operated by Telecare. BH has been working with Telecare on contract negotiations for the next fiscal year to expand all 12 beds to adults. In the interim, Watsonville Community Hospital will operate 4 chair CSU for youth only, which will be operated by Pacific Clinics. BH is proceeding with the construction, design and all the details for the 5300 Soquel building, which will be the future home of our youth CSU and crisis residential program for youth, 8 chairs for youth in the CSU to help patients under 24 hours and 16 beds for youth in the crisis residential.

- California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) – currently in the Payment Reform initiative phase, moving from a cost reimbursement system to a fee for service system that is requiring the County to retrain both county clinic staff as well as community staff on how to code for all the services that are provided and different payment system.

- Monitoring potential changes to MHSA that the Governor proposed. Discussions on what that might mean to services that are provided and how to maintain services to our continuum of care. The legislation is not complete, therefore do not have all the bills or all the proposed rules.

- CARE Act – meeting monthly on how to implement CARE Court and what it means for community members eligible for services. There is a lot of guidance around the Court rules and on the Behavioral Health side, working through how those folks will get assessed.

- Requesting support for the INN presentation at the June 27th Board of Supervisors meeting. Public comments will be open for 30 days, then the MHSOAC must approve before starting implementation.

D. Ad Hoc Committees

1. Budget
2. Peer Support Specialist Certification
3. 988
4. Ideal Crisis System
5. Community / Publicity

The Ad Hoc Committee meetings were moved to a later time to accommodate the guest presenters. The meeting went over the scheduled time and as a result, committee updates were not provided.

E. Patients’ Rights Report – George Carvalho, Patients’ Rights Advocate

May report was provided. George attended the meeting.

- George thanked Karen Kern for helping to get funding back, no more furloughs for Advocacy, Inc.

- Mandated reporting requirements - there are issues of Telecare reporting. George sent several emails requesting information, and still no response from a couple of weeks ago. George said this is important as the information is used to monitor charts. George submitted a 5pt plan to the administrator which includes requests to review policies and procedures.

VI. Presentation: Veterans Services and Mental Health - Travis Deyoung, Santa Cruz County Veterans Advocate

- Travis said he is usually out in the community, connecting with veterans where they are at, doing outreach to hospitals, jails, assisted living facilities, and doing home visits.

- Holistic Approach to Wellbeing – important to recognize mental health is not just mental health services or therapy and counseling. Housing, employment, healthcare, social support is all mental health support. The 8 Pillars of Holistic Healing are: Physical, Emotional, Social, Spiritual, Environmental, Financial, Occupational, Intellectual.

- Employment Services for Veterans – EDD has dedicated veterans' benefits person who works specifically with veterans who are trying to overcome challenges to employment.
The Veterans Rehabilitation Services, Inc. can help with getting clothing or things that are needed for finding employment.

- Housing Programs: 1) Paget House is a 60–90 day program which provides a supportive environment, to surround the veteran with services; 2) Supportive Services for Veterans and Families do case management, financial assistance, and payments for a rental deposit; 3) Housing Urban Development VA Supportive Housing is a Section 8 voucher for veterans; 4) Veterans Transition Center is a 2-year program for veterans who are needing more time and more support.

- Branches of the VA- 1) Benefits, 2) Healthcare, 3) Vet Centers, 4) Cemeteries

- VA Benefits (monetary benefits) – 1) VA Disability Claims, 2) Non-Service Connected Pension set up for veterans who have low income or high medical expenses, 3) VA Education Benefits, 4) Survivors Benefits

- Mental Health Programs (Inpatient) available through the VA: 1) Acute Psych Care, 2) Men's Trauma Recovery Program, 3) Woman's Trauma Recovery Program

- Mental Health Programs (Outpatient): 1) Psychiatric and psychological counseling, 2) Treatment for co-occurring disorders, 3) PTSD Intensive Outpatient Program, 4) Peer Support Programs, 5) Women's Counseling Center

- Substance Abuse Treatment Programs (Inpatient/Outpatient): 1) Addiction Treatment Services, 2) Intensive Outpatient Services, 3) Foundation of Recovery, 4) First Step, 5) VA contracts for residential care (The Camp in Scotts Valley)

Click here to view the presentation, which includes the Veteran Suicide Prevention Services slides.

VII. New Agenda Items
1. Vote for Chair, Co-Chair and Secretary of the Mental Health Advisory Board
   The current chair and secretary will remain in their positions for the next year. No board member expressed interest in serving as the co-chair. Co-chair vacancy to be discussed at the next meeting.
2. Discussion and vote on letter regarding Sweeps, camping and parking bans and clearing of the San Lorenzo Park Benchlands.
   Please see Item IV.D for voting results.

VIII. Future Agenda Items – none discussed.

IX. Adjournment
Meeting adjourned at 5:17 p.m.
MENTAL HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD RETREAT
JUNE 16, 2023 ✦ 10:00 AM - 3:00 PM
1400 EMELINE AVENUE, BLDG K, ROOMS 206-207, SANTA CRUZ
Microsoft Teams Meeting (831) 454-2222, Conference 420 323 347#

Present: Antonio Rivas, Celeste Gutierrez, Jeffrey Arlt, Jennifer Wells Kaupp, Laura Chatham, Michael Neidig, Valerie Webb, Xaloc Cabanes
Excused: Hugh McCormick, Stephen Busath, Supervisor Felipe Hernandez
Staff: Karen Kern, Jane Batoon-Kurovski

I. Roll Call – Quorum present. Meeting called to order at 10:03 a.m. by Chair Xaloc Cabanes.

II. Public Comments – none.

III. Accomplishments, Goals, Dreams–board members shared their personal accomplishments, goals, and dreams.

IV. Review 2022 Goals and Objectives
   • Ideal Crisis System – Jeffrey reported that the format of the committee did not work as it was too burdensome, inhibiting communication between members, required scheduled public meetings. Changing the committees to ad hoc provided the smaller groups with more freedom to exchange ideas, more flexibility. Also, quantifying objectives and recommendations is essential to seeing progress.
   • 988 launch July 16th – the group contributed by getting the word out.
   • Site Visits – the Board discussed scheduled site visits vs. unannounced site visits.
   • Peer Certification Training – Hugh was absent and could not provide a report, but the Board discussed there is a need to advocate and continue support for this training.
   • CARE Court (forced treatment) – did not do a deep dive into this topic.
   • Housing – Laura reported that it is getting better. The more pressure that is put on, the more section 8 vouchers are released. Individuals on the street are dealing with mental health crisis and the best support is to provide housing first. Per Karen Kern, leveraging MHSA dollars to provide housing.
   • All law enforcement agencies have the same policy as the jail regarding management of prescriptions - The goal was to unify and ensure that when someone is released from jail, there is no waiting period to get all personal items and prescriptions. Sheriff staff joined a MHAB meeting to discuss the difficulties.

V. Overview of Behavioral Health System of Care – Karen Kern, Director of Behavioral Heath
   • The Behavioral Health mission is to work with people who are Medi-Cal beneficiaries, underserved in the areas of mental health, specialty mental health, meeting people who have severe mental illness and severely emotionally disturbed, and substance use disorder.
• BH runs two plans similar to insurance plans – 1) the mental health plan and 2) DMC-ODS which stands for Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System. All are welcome to seek services regardless of the type of insurance. If they don’t have Medi-Cal and are eligible, Behavioral Health staff will help them apply for Medi-Cal. If the person is indigent, then the County will take care of it.

• The specialty mental health system is organized around Gates. For Children’s Services, there are: Welfare Gate, Probation Gate, Community Gate which includes the education system.

• In Adults Services, there is Access which is where people come through Access services to do the screening and determine if they are mild to moderate or if they are in the specialty mental health area. If they are in the mild to moderate, Behavioral Health will work with the Alliance. If they meet criteria for specialty mental health services, it is their choice if they want to enroll with the County.

• For Adult Services, there are full-service partnership teams which are mostly organized by population focus: Transition Age Youth, Older Adults, Justice Involved (MOST), CREST which is community reentry (people who have been under conservatorship, they met the criteria for grave disability and became under the care of the public guardian).

• About 40% of Behavioral Health clients are experiencing homelessness. There is a full-service partnership team for people experiencing homelessness. The adults who are qualified for mental health services and experiencing homelessness need more than coordination therapy psychiatry services. They need outreach and engagement services, housing navigation services, and need street response (Healing the Streets).

• Funding for community partners comes from the Mental Health Services Act, realignment, county general fund, and various grants that are written. The following are all funded by Behavioral Health contractually within guidelines the state provides to allow Behavioral Health to pay for services: residential service at Encompass; the services at Community Connections or Front Street; MHCAN; NAMI; Advocacy, Inc.

VI. Board Member Commitments
1. Two hours of education/training per year related to behavioral health.
2. Ethics Training – complete every two years.
3. Attend regular meetings and participate in ad hoc committees.
4. Allowed two excused and two unexcused absences in a calendar year.

VII. Goals for the Upcoming Year
1. Respond to Grand Jury Report with specific recommendations and findings.
2. Be involved in Personnel activities: recruit/hire/advocate.
3. Increase crisis chairs and inpatient beds to 137.
4. Support sexual assault services (survivors) and educating children/family members, provide program information on how sexual assault/abuse and mental health are connected.
5. More Youth Representation
6. Bring housing and Mental Health closer with permanent and supportive housing.
7. Site Visits at 7th Avenue and Santa Cruz County Jail (Wellpath)
8. Wellness Summit: create a safe place for targeted groups.

VIII. Calendar of Events for the Upcoming Year
• March: ideas for May Mental Health Awareness Month
• April
  1. People/place confirmed for Mental Health Awareness Month
  2. National Minority Health Month
• May: Mental Health Awareness month event
• July: ideas for Suicide Prevention Awareness Day
• August: people/place confirmed for Suicide Prevention Day
• September 10th: Suicide Prevention Day
• October: Depression Awareness Month
IX. Youth Voice – Vote for Youth Representation
Stella Peuse introduced herself. She just graduated from SVHS and ran the Hope Squad which is a peer-to-peer suicide prevention program. This year, she is about to be hired by the district to start the whole squad program in middle school as well.

Motion to accept Stella Peuse as the MHAB Youth Representative: Xaloc Cabanes
Second: Antonio Rivas

Ayes: Antonio Rivas, Jeffrey Arlt, Laura Chatham, Michael Neidig, Valerie Webb, Xaloc Cabanes
Abstain: Celeste Gutierrez
Absent: Hugh McCormick, Jennifer Wells Kaupp, Stephen Busath, Supervisor Felipe Hernandez
Motion passed.

X. Ad Hoc Committees – to be discussed at the next meeting.

XI. Vote to change MHAB Meeting Schedule – add regular meeting in November and remove December meeting. The Board will vote next month to change the meeting schedule.

XII. Other Miscellaneous Items
1. Discussed Member-At-Large, Item 5 on Bylaws. Propose removing the first sentence.
2. Presentations will precede Standing Reports on the regular agenda.

XIII. Adjournment
Meeting adjourned at 2:59 p.m.
Summary
This is a June 2023 Patients’ Rights Advocate Report from the Patients’ Rights Advocacy program. It includes the following: telephone calls, reports, and emails. It includes a breakdown of the number of certified clients, the number of hearings, and the number of contested hearings. It also includes a breakdown of Reise Hearing activity, including the number of Riese Hearings filed, the number of Riese conducted, and the number that were lost.

Patients’ Rights Advocate Report
June 2023

Record 13988
El Dorado Center
On June 16, 2023, Ms. Davi Schill, PRA, received a cross report from Adult Protective services for an alleged sexual abuse. At the time that his report was generated the victim was a resident of the El Dorado center. A thorough investigation was conducted by the PRA. The investigation included interviews with the El Dorado, with the reported victim, as well as conversations with county agencies. At this time the victim is at no further risk for harm. A police report was also filed by Adult Protective Services

Record 13977
Telecare
On June 26, 2023, George Carvalho, PRA, received a call from a patient at the Telecare facility. The client complained that the treating psychiatrist continued to prescribe a medication with known side effect of lactation in males. He was angry wish to seek legal recourse about his situation. I referred him the Disability Rights California. (1800-776-5746). The client discharged from the facility before I could determine whether this referral met the client’s stated need. I received no further contact from this client about this issue

Record 13888
7th Avenue Center
On June 7, 2023, George Carvalho received a phone call from a resident of the 7th Avenue Center. The resident stated that he shouldn’t take the medication because he doesn’t need them to take medications. I conducted a somewhat lengthy interview with the resident to determine the reason for this change of mind. The client did not complain about side effects, but simply voiced his belief that he
did not have a mental illness. I urged him to speak with his treating psychiatrist about any concerns or new side effects.

Record 13942

7th Avenue Center

On June 12, 2023, George Carvalho met with a resident of the 7th Center in response to the resident’s on-going desire to be placed in another facility. At that time expressed frustration with the process and requested that I contact the county public defender on his behalf to contest the conservatorship. Per the resident’s request the writer placed a call to the Public Defender’s office and left a message on behalf of the resident requesting a hearing date on the matter of the LPS Conservatorship

ADVOCACY INC.

TELECARE CLIENT CERTIFICATION AND
REISE HEARING/PATIENTS’ RIGHTS
REPORT

June 2023

Fourth Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. TOTAL NUMBER CERTIFIED</th>
<th>44</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. TOTAL NUMBER OF HEARINGS</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTESTED HEARINGS</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. NO CONTEST PROBABLE CAUSE</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CONTESTED NO PROBABLE CAUSE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. VOLUNTARY BEFORE CERTIFICATION HEARING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. DISCHARGED BEFORE HEARING</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. WRITS 0
9. CONTESTED PROBABLE CAUSE 23
10. NON-REGULARLY SCHEDULED HEARINGS 0

Ombudsman Program & Patient Advocate Program shared 0 clients in this month
(shared = skilled nursing resident (dementia) sent to behavioral health unit or mental health client placed in skilled at Telecare (Santa Cruz Psychiatric Health Facility)

Reise Hearings. /Capacity Hearings
Total number of Riese petitions filed by the Telecare treating psychiatrist: 5
Total number of Riese Hearings conducted: 5
Total number of Riese Hearings lost: 5
Total number of Riese Hearings won: 0
Total number of Riese Hearings withdrawn: 0
Hours spent on cancelled Reise hearings: 0 hours
House spent on all Reise hearings: 8.5
Riese appeal: 0
Hours spent on all Riese Hearings included those hearings that were cancelled by the hospital: 8.5

Respectfully submitted,
Davi Schill, PRA
George N. Carvalho, PRA
Diagnosing the Crisis in Behavioral Health
Underfunded, Understaffed & Overworked

Summary
The Grand Jury investigated the Santa Cruz County Behavioral Health Division (BHD) of the Health Services Agency to ascertain how well they were handling the additional demands on their services caused by the Covid Pandemic. It found the BHD to be seriously understaffed - as much as 30% - including management, clinicians and support staff. It also found many other problems, including inadequate crisis stabilization capacity, lack of step-down capability, and insufficient outreach to the Latino/a community, but the BHD cannot be expected to improve in these areas until it gets significantly more staff.

The statistics point to a disturbing reality. Santa Cruz has more homeless people per capita than anywhere else in California; some 2300 of our residents are without housing. An estimated 37% of the BHD's clients are homeless. About 67% of homeless residents experience chronic substance abuse, and 43% of BHD’s substance use disorder clients are involved with the criminal justice system.

The Grand Jury urgently recommends increasing BHD’s staffing to meet the overwhelming demand for mental health services in this county. It further recommends increasing the capacity of the crisis stabilization program and transitioning the Mobile Emergency Response Teams for adults and youth to 24/7 availability. It finally recommends improving service to marginalized populations, especially homeless people, those involved with the criminal justice system and the Latino/a community.
Background

The United States has been in the midst of a mental health crisis since long before the Covid Pandemic, which has made it even worse.[1][2] Mental health struggles and rates of substance use disorder have been dramatically escalating for more than two years in Santa Cruz County.[3] A longstanding shortage of mental health workers in the country, combined with the now increased demand for mental health services following the pandemic, has impacted all parts of the country, including Santa Cruz County. What makes the problem even more pressing here is Santa Cruz’s distinction of having the highest number of homeless persons per capita in the state, along with a very high incidence of substance use disorder.[4][5]

The County’s Behavioral Health Division (BHD) is the primary provider of mental health care for low income adults and children who lack private health care coverage. BHD is one of four divisions of the Health Services Agency, the others being Clinic Services, Environmental Health, and Public Health. BHD has four subdivisions: Adult Mental Health, Children’s Mental Health, Substance Use Disorders, and Quality Improvement.

BHD services are designed to address the most significant mental health needs of the County and to ensure services and access for all residents, with an emphasis and priority focus on serving individuals at highest risk for experiencing mental health service gaps and access barriers. This population includes individuals who are experiencing homelessness, those who do not speak English as their primary language, racial and ethnic minorities, low-income people and inmates being released from the county jails. Santa Cruz has continued to see increased community need for behavioral health services, especially for serving Spanish speaking residents and individuals experiencing homelessness.[3]

The County has a complex network of preventive and mental health treatment options for adults and children. Approximately 34% of the services are provided directly by the County and 66% are provided by private contractors.[6]. Based on examination of their website,[7] the BHD oversees many programs, including but not limited to the following:

- Two county mental health clinics, one in North and one in South County
- A Crisis Stabilization Program for adults and children
- A 16 bed Psychiatric Health Facility for adults
- Crisis response teams: Mobile Emergency Response Teams for Adults and Youth in North and South County, known as MERT and MERTY
- A mental health liaison program to local law enforcement
- Homeless support programs such as the Downtown Outreach Team
- A locally staffed 988 Suicide Crisis Line
- A 24 hour line for referrals to local mental health services
- Jail mental health program
- Residential step-down programs - sub acute and residential
- Case management services for severely mentally ill persons

BHD’s annual budget to accomplish this diverse mission is over $100 million, including both County money and State funding such as Medi-Cal.[8][9]
Scope and Methodology

The Grand Jury wanted to investigate how BHD was coping with the increased demand for mental health services resulting from the pandemic. Specific questions that the Jury addressed include the following:

- Is the County’s Health Service Agency adequately staffed and resourced to address mental health problems in the County?
- If staffing is not adequate, what are the difficulties in recruiting, hiring, and retaining staff?
- Are the mental health facilities in the County adequate to address demand?
- Are services sufficient for other marginalized groups such as persons experiencing homelessness or those being released from jail?
- Are there some ethnic groups in our county who may underutilize these services?
- How do people know about and access mental health services?
- How long do people have to wait to receive these services?
- What are the challenges in providing mental health services in our community?

The Grand Jury interviewed key leaders and personnel in the mental health system. It attended monthly Mental Health Advisory Board meetings. It also reviewed important articles, including published reports from the County regarding mental health, mental health related documents found online, the mental health medical literature, and local newspaper articles regarding mental health.

Investigation

The Grand Jury began this investigation by examining documents that evaluated whether goals set by BHD for itself were met. It soon discovered that nearly all goals were not met, even those representing very small improvement. Of 14 goals in their Integrative Behavioral Health Quality Improvement Work Plan, FY 2021-2022, only two were met, and these were not directly related to service quality. Goals not met included access to services, response times to service requests and cultural responsiveness.[10]

In March of this year, BHD released a draft of their Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) FY 2023-2026 Three Year Plan and FY 2023-2024 Annual Update.[3] This plan includes results of the Community Program Planning Process, a structured method of soliciting community input to identify local needs and funding priorities for Behavioral Health. The results of this process are startling. The plan states, “Community members and providers alike shared concerns about staffing shortages throughout the county system of care, including psychiatrists, therapists, counselors, and specialty mental health case managers.”
The report highlights the lack of enough beds in higher-level care facilities that can lead to people with serious mental illness repeatedly cycling through the system. Patients and families report delays in receiving needed services, or inability to find services when they need them.[11] The report states that homeless people and those involved with the criminal justice system have unique needs and barriers, and experience long wait times to access BHD services. When looking at the county’s Medi-Cal population, BHD serves a lower percentage of the eligible Latina/o residents than any other ethnic group. The major service gaps highlighted in this draft report became the focus of the Grand Jury’s investigation.

While the scope of mental health care overseen by the county is commendable, the complexity of the system, with each program having its own eligibility requirements, makes understanding and accessing services difficult for patients, especially the marginalized people the County serves. Gaps in continuing care are particularly difficult for these vulnerable persons. Studies show that a delay in diagnosis, a delay in appropriate treatment, and a lack of continuity in care make achieving successful outcomes more difficult and increases the overall cost of mental health care.[12][13][14][15]

The Crisis in Behavioral Health Staffing

Currently the Santa Cruz County Behavioral Health Division has approximately a 30% staff vacancy rate. (See Table 1 below.) At the time of our investigation, 4 out of the 10 director positions were vacant, filled by interim employees who were performing the tasks of at least two positions.[16] In response to this critically low staffing in senior management, the department hired a consultant to consider structural changes to the organization.[17] There are vacancies at every level of staffing, including psychiatrists, psychiatric nurses, licensed mental health practitioners, and other direct service practitioners, especially bilingual staff. While the Grand Jury did not directly interview them, the contractors providing mental health services for the county are reported to also be struggling to fill open positions. Behavioral Health and Personnel staff point to limited pools of applicants for licensed mental health clinicians.[18][19] At the time of the investigation, despite holding all licensed mental health job classifications as open, there were no available candidates in the pipeline.[18] The BHD is also suffering from lack of analyst positions which would allow them to analyze tracking data more efficiently, to evaluate contracts and to financially plan.[20]
Critically low staffing levels have had a negative impact on access to and quality of treatment across many programs. From interviews the Grand Jury learned that the Crisis teams—the Mobile Emergency Response Team (MERT) and the Mobile Emergency Response Team for Youth (MERTY)—are frequently understaffed by as much as 50% and are unable to expand to weekend coverage due to lack of staffing despite having the funding to expand.\[22\]\[23\] Year over year Quality Improvement reports reference low staffing as the reason for not meeting performance goals.\[24\]\[25\]\[26\]\[27\] Staff shortages are also impacting contractors’ ability to meet contracted goals. Telecare, the contractor that runs the only Crisis Stabilization Unit Program in the county, has frequently had to close for admissions due to staff shortages. These closures cause recurring diversions to local hospital emergency rooms.\[28\]

Also, the vacancies in BH administration have created a lack of clarity about contract oversight. Multiple interviewees (all high level managers) did not know who was responsible for oversight of each contract.\[29\]\[30\]\[31\]\[32\]\[33\]\[34\]\[35\]\[36\] This may be due to temporary staffing in these positions or unfamiliarity with the oversight hierarchy.

In response to the serious behavioral health staffing shortage at the state level, Governor Newsom and the State Legislature have recently passed large initiatives focusing on more funding and more streamlined funding for mental health support.\[37\] But factors specific to Santa Cruz County heighten the staffing crisis:

- The extremely high cost of living, especially housing,\[38\]
- Increased competition with both private and public mental health providers and hospitals,

Table 1. Behavioral Health Vacancy Rate on March 15, 2023.\[21\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment Status unfilled</th>
<th>(Multiple Items)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unfilled positions</td>
<td>FTE % Vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access and Crisis</td>
<td>11.00 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Mental Health</td>
<td>17.30 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral Health Administration</td>
<td>2.65 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH Support</td>
<td>5.00 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s Mental Health</td>
<td>14.30 24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Improvement</td>
<td>1.75 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty Mental Health - FQHC</td>
<td>14.25 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Use Disorder</td>
<td>8.00 29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>74.25 26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Competition with wealthier local counties,
• Lower salaries
• The difficulty of the work, and
• The large homeless population which makes delivering mental health treatment very challenging.[59] [40] [41] [42]

In our investigation, multiple interviewees also pointed to Santa Cruz County’s hiring practices and lower salaries as a barrier to their ability to be competitive in the job market. Some noted that it takes as long as two months between the interview and the final hire. These practices are outdated and out of alignment with current hiring practices. They pointed to the need for more automated application processes and more responsiveness in updating hiring classifications to suit a younger workforce that wants more flexibility.[43] [44] [45] [46] [47]

**County Personnel Department**

Despite these issues, the County Personnel Department does not recognize a staffing shortage in Behavioral Health[48] and maintains that Behavioral Health salaries are locally competitive by pointing out that Santa Cruz County behavioral health salaries are average in comparison to six other Bay Area counties.[49] The closest county where workers can comfortably commute is Santa Clara, where in 2020, they paid Sr. Mental Health Specialists $10,000 more per year.[49] The Personnel Department does not regularly conduct competitive analysis of salaries, only as needed or prior to negotiations with the union.[50] In trying to verify the hiring practices, the Grand Jury was told that the Personnel Department does not collect key human resources data by department such as Time to Hire, Acceptance Rates, Turnover Rates, and Retention Rates. They only collect data for the county as a whole, so they have very little means for analysis of their practices by department. Data collection about hiring is left to each department. What they did report is that “a typical process could be 30-90 days”.[50]

While some hiring incentives have been introduced for psychiatrists, psychiatric nurse practitioners, and physicians and medical directors, currently there are no incentives for licensed mental health practitioners such as Licensed Clinical Social Workers (LCSW) and Marriage, Family and Child Counseling (MFCC).[51] Santa Clara County, on the other hand, has a $5,000 signing bonus, loan repayment, workforce tuition, and public service loan forgiveness for open MFCC and LCSW positions.[40] [52] [53]

Recruitment and retention is also a problem.[19] [54] [55] It is difficult to recruit and retain people in a county with the second highest housing costs in the nation without commensurate salaries. The University of California, Santa Cruz does not offer Master’s degree programs in psychology or social work. San Jose State University and Cal State University at Monterey Bay are the nearest universities to offer these degrees. Interviewees pointed to the need to develop connections to these university programs such as internships or stipends to strengthen the professional pipeline for licensed and unlicensed mental health clinicians in Santa Cruz County.[56]
The Crisis in Crisis Stabilization

Crisis stabilization services are needed for people who are experiencing an acute mental health crisis. These services assess a patient’s mental health status, providing the initial steps in diagnosis, treatment, and determination of their mental health needs. While MERT and MERTY can provide some screening assessments in the field,[67][68] this initial evaluation is meant to be provided by the Crisis Stabilization Program (CSP), which is located at the Psychiatric Healthcare Facility or PHF. Some call this portion of the PHF the Crisis Stabilization Unit. A patient may stay up to 24 hours in the CSP[59] which is considered an outpatient setting. Since an overnight stay is not allowed, patients are considered to be in chairs and not beds. If a patient is deemed to not be gravely disabled or a threat to themself or others, they can be discharged to outpatient care. Otherwise they remain on a mental health hold, which is also known as a 5150 for adults and a 5585 for minors.[60] This is an involuntary 72 hour mental health hospitalization, which for adults could take place at our PHF if beds are available. The County’s CSP and PHF are currently operated by Telecare, a company that is based in Alameda and has been treating mental illness since 1965.[61]

Figure 1 The Psychiatric Healthcare Facility.[62]

Santa Cruz County is the primary provider of mental health crisis stabilization services for all adults and children, regardless of payor class.[63] Unfortunately, the demand for acute crisis services often exceeds the capacity of the current 12 chair CSP and 16 bed PHF.[64] The capacity of the CSP/PHF is dependent on two factors, the number of chairs/beds that they have and the staffing that they have available to treat patients in
crisis.\textsuperscript{[65][66][67][68]} Since the facility often lacks the capacity to take new patients, patients are diverted to the Emergency Department or ED of local hospitals. In 2022 the average number of CSP patients at the Dominican ED was 29.1 per month, and it was 8.8 per month at the Watsonville ED.\textsuperscript{[69]} Patients may have to wait up to 24 hours in the ED to be evaluated.\textsuperscript{[70]} This evaluation can be performed by MERT or MERTY.\textsuperscript{[71][72]} However, currently these teams are only available from 8am to 5pm on Monday through Friday. As previously noted, efforts to expand their availability have been hampered by staffing shortages.\textsuperscript{[22][23]} Outside of those hours the hospitals must rely on their own resources to assess the patient. If the patient cannot be released for outpatient mental health follow-up, the arrangement for a 5150 or 5585 psychiatric inpatient stay becomes the responsibility of the hospital.\textsuperscript{[73][74]} This placement can take days and is generally outside of our county, since our PHF is often full.\textsuperscript{[75]} According to the nonprofit Treatment Advocacy Center our current 16 bed PHF falls far short of the number of beds needed to serve this county’s population. They estimated that 50 beds are needed per 100,000 population,\textsuperscript{[76]} which means that for the county’s population of about 270,000, there should be about 135 beds, vastly more than are actually available. Even considering the County’s current efforts to treat patients in the least restrictive environment possible,\textsuperscript{[77]} more beds are needed.

The occupied ED bed negatively impacts the hospital’s ED, which is already very busy dealing with patients who do not have a mental health related emergency.\textsuperscript{[78]} Patients brought into the ED by law enforcement require continuous supervision by an officer to protect against violence or possible escape until a mental health assessment. This practice not only ties up an ED bed but also pulls law enforcement away from other critical duties. Also, the patient’s assessment, diagnosis, and treatment is delayed when they are diverted to an ED.

**Issues with the Psychiatric Healthcare Facility**

The current PHF is a free standing facility and is therefore limited to 16 beds to be eligible for Medi-Cal and Medicare reimbursement for services.\textsuperscript{[65][79]} Also, since there has not been a separate unit for children or youths needing crisis support, up to four of the 12 CSP chairs at the PHF have been held for youths under 18.\textsuperscript{[80]} However, patients under 18 who need inpatient psychiatric treatment must ultimately be placed in a facility outside of our county, since our current PHF is for adults only.

The County has acquired a building in Live Oak and plans to open a PHF specifically for children and youths, which will include 8 CSP chairs and 16 inpatient beds, by late 2024 or early 2025.\textsuperscript{[81][82][83][84][85]} Unfortunately, starting on July 1, 2023, patients under 18 will no longer be accepted at the current PHF for CSP services.\textsuperscript{[86][87]} While this will free up four chairs in the current CSP, which are presently reserved for patients under 18 years of age, the BHD says that the total number of chairs at the adult CSP will remain 12. To minimize the potential 18 month gap in youth crisis care, the BHD is trying to open a temporary four chair CSP for children and youths by the fall of 2023.\textsuperscript{[88]}

Due to ongoing issues at the PHF currently run by Telecare, the County sent out a request for proposal or RFP to see if there are other vendors who could run the current adult CSP/PHF programs. While about a dozen groups received information about the
RFP, as of the March 2023 Mental Health Advisory Board meeting, only one group had responded to the RFP. Some in the Health Service Agency feel that many groups did not submit a proposal due to the staffing challenges in this county. To support crisis services, in February of this year the County increased payment to Telecare, because they have had to increase their wages to attract and retain clinical staff. Since that time, the percentage of time that the CSP is on diversion to the hospital ED has been falling. In the final quarter of 2022 the percentage of time on diversion for children was 86.7%, and for adults it was 44%. During February through April of 2023 this has dropped to 50.3% for children and 11.8% for adults. Presumably, this means that the diversion rate is also falling. However, other factors could be involved in this trend, such as seasonal variation, which may affect the demand for crisis services.

The Impact of High Cost Beneficiaries

The FY 2021-2022 Medi-Cal Specialty Behavioral Health External Quality Review revealed that Santa Cruz County has three times the number of mental health High Cost Beneficiaries (HCBs) than the state average for calendar years 2018 through 2020. This review defined a HCB as a Medi-Cal patient who has approved treatment claims of $30,000 or more in one year. There are many possible reasons for this. High cost of care typically occurs when a beneficiary repeatedly requires intensive treatment. This may result from failure to provide timely appropriate care, especially step-down care, discussed later in this report. Furthermore, HCBs occupy treatment slots and may cause a cascading effect on other beneficiaries, who in turn cannot receive sufficient care. This places them at risk of becoming a high utilizer themselves.

External auditors found through their analysis of our Medi-Cal Specialty Mental Health plan billing and claims data that our county’s Medi-Cal beneficiaries received more crisis stabilization and intervention services than the statewide average. The auditors postulated that this was in part due to the “robust” crisis stabilization and intervention services that the County of Santa Cruz provides compared to other counties. However, it was also reported that the County pays for the transfer of a patient from our CSP to an out-of-county inpatient psychiatric facility and pays 100% of the cost for that care for a Medi-Cal beneficiary. Since the County does not receive the Federal match for any Medi-Cal out-of-county care, the shortage of in-patient psychiatric beds in this county financially hurts the County. It is not clear if the high cost of crisis stabilization and intervention services is due to the “robust” services provided by the County, to the number of patients sent out of the county for treatment, or to other factors.

Watsonville Behavioral Health Center

In spite of the severe staffing issues and the lack of crisis stabilization in the County noted above, and in addition to the planned Live Oak facility, there is some really good news. Encompass Community Services has just been awarded more than $9 million in state funds that will support continued development of a new South County mental health facility, called the Sí Se Puede Behavioral Health Center. Encompass Community
Services is the county’s largest community-based behavioral health and human services provider. It offers counseling, substance use recovery, and housing for mental health patients.\[95\]

Groundbreaking will commence in 2023 and the new facility will include seven new residential substance-use disorder treatment beds specifically for the 18-25 year old age group, and 30 residential treatment beds in total. There will also be 106 annual outpatient treatment slots available and the center will have capacity to serve an estimated 1,300 community members annually. Encompass has also partnered with nonprofit developer MidPen Housing to include a 72-unit affordable housing development on the forthcoming health campus.\[96\]

**Continuing Care or “Step-Down”**

Behavioral Health’s FY 2021-2022 Quality Management Plan\[97\] outlines significant capability to support patients leaving mental health care either as an outpatient or from an inpatient psychiatric facility. Their Assertive Community Treatment Team provides intensive, wrap-around case management services for patients who are returning to the community from locked psychiatric care. The goal is to support their psychiatric stabilization, successful transition back into the community, increase independent living skills and decrease the need for locked care.\[98\] BHD works with Encompass which runs the El Dorado Center (EDC), a community-based, short-term treatment program for individuals who may be stepping down from locked care.

An intensive, structured residential program, EDC is an unlocked, home-like environment facilitating the healing process in preparation for transitioning back to community living. Staff provide individual and group counseling, crisis intervention, structured activities, community outings, and assistance with independent living skills and connecting to the community.\[99\] Encompass’s funding from the County was recently increased by $1.7 million to a total of $9.4 million.\[100\] (This is separate from the funding for the new Watsonville facility described above, and is in addition to Behavioral Health funding.) As well as the El Dorado Center, Encompass runs programs for anyone diagnosed with mental illness, including treatment, counseling, emergency shelter, case management, outreach and education, permanent supportive housing, and transitional housing.\[95\]

In spite of the description in the Quality Management Plan and the collaboration with Encompass, some of the interviewees noted the lack of step-down facilities, and the consequent need for BHD to repeat treatment because the patient relapses.\[101\][102] [103] Behavioral Health’s Draft Three Year Plan notes that for people with serious mental illness, a lack of enough beds in higher-level care facilities can lead to a “revolving door of insecurity, including jail and street life.”\[3\] The chronic and severe shortage of in-patient psychiatric capacity has been described above.\[76\] Some patients are sent to other California counties, which, as noted previously, is expensive.\[102\] Others are released from in-patient psychiatric care with no follow-up care.\[101\][104\]
Mental Health and Homelessness

BHD’s clients come from low income people, and about 37% of them are homeless.[105] Santa Cruz has a high rate of homeless individuals, at about 0.8% of the population.[4][106][107] There were 2,167 people unhoused in Santa Cruz County in 2019 and 2,299 people unhoused in 2022.[5][108] Nationally, 26% of homeless people self-identify as severely mentally ill.[109] Locally, 67% are experiencing chronic substance abuse.[110] Just being homeless is associated with declines in mental and physical health. Homeless persons experience high rates of HIV infection, tuberculosis, and other conditions as well as the mental illness and SUD that contributed to their homelessness. A homeless person may enter mental health treatment, but have nowhere to live upon completion if an inpatient, or during treatment if an outpatient.

Being homeless is a full time job; just getting food, shelter, bathroom access, medical and dental care, and access to whatever limited services local government or non-profit organizations provide is all consuming.[104][111][112][113][114][115] A person needs secure housing before they can be expected to take an active role in dealing with their mental health. There is a huge need for permanent supportive housing. In their Draft Three Year Plan, BHD reports that “some of those with the least financial resources are those who need services the most.”[116] They identify “unhoused populations” among their service gaps.[117]

Continuing Care for Inmates Being Released from Jail

Some 43% of BHD substance use patients are involved with the criminal justice system.[105] About 40% of jail inmates have been diagnosed with mental illness.[118][118] The jail provides some discharge care for released inmates[119] and may coordinate with BHD if the inmate was formerly a patient of theirs.[120] The 6 - 7 month wait for a bed in the state mental health system means an inmate needing in-patient care is out of luck.[121] The Public Defender’s Office runs some programs to help inmates get the services they need. They also coordinate with BHD, but the effort is severely underfunded.[122][123] In their Draft Three Year Plan, BHD identifies “Incarcerated or formerly incarcerated people with mental health needs” among their service gaps.[117] They further state that there is a lack of coordination with other county systems, such as law enforcement or the jail, and a lack of warm handoff to outpatient providers and ensuring a sufficient amount of medication until a pharmacy is open. This is in spite of the “mental health liaison program to local law enforcement” and “Jail mental health program” they claim on their website. (A warm handoff means that jail staff introduces the inmate to the outpatient provider rather than just providing a referral.[3]) A lack of warm handoff to therapists, outpatient providers, and ensuring sufficient medications can pose challenges to clients’ continuity of care.

This year’s Civil Grand Jury is also investigating Santa Cruz County’s jails.[124] The report describes the high recidivism rate - around 60% - for individuals released from jail. Released inmates with mental illness or SUD have much higher recidivism rates than those without these diagnoses. Many released inmates get in trouble with the law again and go right back into the criminal justice system because that is the only easy
option for them. Anti recidivism programs do work, but are underfunded and inadequate. The Jail report goes on to recommend increased funding for anti recidivism programs, including increasing funding for Behavioral Health to support released inmates.

**Latino/a Utilization of Mental Health Services**

Populations of lower socioeconomic status have been found to have a higher incidence of mental health disorders.\[125]\[126] Latinos/as in South County Santa Cruz have experienced mental health problems due to lower incomes, housing uncertainty, documentation status, language barriers, and cultural differences.\[127] Nevertheless, according to Medi-Cal data, the percentage of Latinos/as in Santa Cruz County seeking mental health services is less than any other ethnic group\[128] and lower than the state average for this ethnic population.\[129]\[130] There are probably multiple factors involved, but historically, investment in South County has been less than in North County. South County previously used a converted building with no private space for treatment. However, an outpatient building for mental health services in Watsonville was opened in 2018.\[131] The new Sí Se Puede Behavioral Health Center in Watsonville, described earlier in this report, will make the distribution of mental health facilities across the County more equitable.

Outreach to the Latino/a community has historically been less successful than to other populations.\[132]\[133] While outreach efforts have improved for South County in the recent past, there is still more that could be done. The limited availability of bilingual and bicultural services is the main issue.\[134]\[135]\[136]\[137] Cultural competency, as well as language, is important in encouraging people to seek and undergo needed mental health treatment.

Currently, the County provides an increase in pay of $1.00 per hour for Level One bilingual services and $1.35 per hour for Level Two bilingual services.\[138] Level One is the ability to converse in the second language and to translate English into the second language. Level Two is the ability to converse in the second language, to read the second language, to translate the second language orally into English, and to write in the second language.\[139] At the present time this bilingual pay differential is only available for Spanish.\[140] Unfortunately, in spite of this pay incentive, the County has a shortage of practitioners who are bilingual Spanish speakers. To complicate matters, some of the farmworkers are indigenous immigrants from southern Mexico. A number of them speak an indigenous language, Mixteco, which is different from Spanish. The Grand Jury understands that covering all languages is impossible but more qualified interpreters are needed. In North County homelessness plays a big role in the services needed, while in South county the focus is more likely to be on youths and families.\[141]\[142] Understanding the family unit is important in providing mental health services, especially in South county. This emphasizes the importance of bicultural awareness beyond bilingual services.
Conclusion
The longstanding and serious staffing shortage at the Behavioral Health Division is a contributing factor to all the issues discussed in this report, such as lack of step-down capability, services for marginalized groups including homeless persons, those involved with the criminal justice system and racial minorities. Until the staffing level is significantly improved, expecting improved service in any of these areas is unreasonable. The Grand Jury typically recommends an increase in funding when an agency has more responsibilities than budget, even while understanding that if there were funding available to increase the budget, this would already have been done. In this case, however, not only are County residents not getting adequate mental health services, the cost to the County is also higher because patients sometimes need to repeat treatment.

Findings

Findings about the Staffing Shortage

F1. The chronic understaffing in the Behavioral Health Division (BHD) and their contractors is negatively impacting the department’s ability to meet goals and to provide services in a timely and effective manner.

F2. The County Personnel Department has been slow to respond to the chronic understaffing in the Behavioral Health Division. It has not put measures into place to speed up the hiring process or to create competitive salaries and incentives for the non-medical personnel who staff the BHD positions. Nor have they created connections with nearby universities to groom a clinical workforce. This causes unnecessary delays in hiring mental health professionals.

F3. Both the Personnel Department and the Behavioral Health Division do not have enough analysts to allow an adequate review of their programs and systems, including analyzing the County’s hiring process. This makes it difficult for them to improve services.

Findings about the Crisis Stabilization Program

F4. The Crisis Stabilization Program (CSP) has been diverting patients experiencing a mental health crisis to hospital emergency departments too frequently, delaying diagnosis, delaying treatment, and placing an extra burden on the emergency departments, which are already overcrowded. The emergency departments then become responsible for finding an inpatient facility for patients who cannot be safely discharged to outpatient care, which further stretches limited resources.

F5. The limited hours that the Mobile Emergency Response Team and Mobile Emergency Response Team for Youth operate interfere with a timely assessment of patients in a mental health crisis, negatively impacting patient care.
F6. An inadequate number of beds at the Psychiatric Healthcare Facility (PHF) results in the practice of sending patients out of county, which negatively impacts the patient’s care, and is expensive for the Behavioral Health Division.

F7. The County plans to close the current Crisis Stabilization Program (CSP) to patients under 18 after June 30, 2023, and the new CSP/PHF in Live Oak will not be open until late 2024 or early 2025 compromising crisis care to minors for 18 months or more.

**Finding about High Cost Beneficiaries**

F8. The large number of high cost beneficiaries results in additional demands on an already overloaded behavioral health system.

**Finding about the new Watsonville facility**

F9. The new Sí Se Puede Behavioral Health Center in Watsonville is a big step in the right direction, and will provide significantly increased service capacity, but it is still not enough.

**Findings about Step-Down, Homelessness, and Jail Inmates**

F10. The lack of step-down care for patients completing both inpatient and outpatient treatment often results in patients relapsing and needing retreatment, which is bad for the patient and increases costs for the Behavioral Health Division.

F11. The high rate of homelessness and Substance Use Disorder in the County results in the Behavioral Health Division's clients that are especially demanding and difficult to treat.

F12. The Behavioral Health Division is insufficiently funded and staffed to provide adequate step-down care for their patients, many of whom are homeless, and/or recently released from jail, and thus have a need for support.

**Findings about services to Latino/as**

F13. Outreach to the Latino/a community is insufficient because of the lack of bilingual and bicultural staff contributing to disproportionate underutilization of mental health services within the Latino/a community.

F14. The current pay differential for bilingual staff is insufficient to attract and retain suitably qualified staff making adequate outreach to the Latino/a community difficult.
Recommendations

Recommendations about the Staffing Shortage

R1. Competitive salaries and hiring incentives should be put in place for all vacant Behavioral Health Division (BHD) positions that don’t already have them. The BHD should consider the salaries and hiring incentives offered by Santa Clara County as a guide - such as hiring bonuses, loan repayment, public service loan repayment, and workforce tuition. The Personnel Department must plan for increases in salary and incentives by the end of 2023 with the goal of including them in the next budget cycle. (F1, F2, F8)

R2. The County Personnel Department should plan to do an analysis of the hiring process for BHD positions and put measures into place to reduce the time it takes to hire by at least half. They should streamline the process and make use of up to date automated processes by the end of 2023. (F1, F2, F3)

R3. The County Personnel Department should institute an annual competitive analysis for all open BHD positions that includes consideration of the extraordinarily high cost of living in Santa Cruz, benefits and incentives. This should be completed by the end of 2023. (F2, F3)

R4. The County Personnel Department should develop connections and internships with nearby universities that have Psychology and Social Work programs to groom a clinical workforce. A plan for this should be completed by the end of 2023. (F1, F2)

Recommendations about the Crisis Stabilization Program

R5. To eliminate the frequent offloading of the Behavioral Health Division (BHD) clients to local hospital emergency departments, the Board of Supervisors and BHD should evaluate ways to increase the number of Crisis Stabilization Program chairs and psychiatric beds available, which may include planning for another adult Psychiatric Healthcare Facility. This evaluation and planning process should be completed by the end of 2023. (F5, F7)

R6. The Behavioral Health Division should improve the services provided by the Mobile Emergency Response Team and the Mobile Emergency Response Team for Youth by improving staffing and expanding coverage to 24/7. This should be completed by the end of 2023. (F6)

R7. The Behavioral Health Division should ensure that there is a smooth transition plan and back up plan for the treatment of children and youths from the current Crisis Stabilization Program to the planned new facility in Live Oak other than diverting them to emergency departments. This should be completed by September 30, 2023. (F8)


**Recommendation about Step-Down, Homelessness, and Jail Inmates**

**R8.** The Behavioral Health Division should request sufficient funding from the County to provide adequate step-down care so patients do not relapse and need yet more care. This request should be in place by the end of 2023. (F8, F10 – F12)

**Recommendations about Latino/a Utilization of Mental Health Services**

**R9.** The Behavioral Health Division should continue to improve bilingual/bicultural outreach to the Latino/a population, including whether any language besides Spanish reaches the threshold to warrant offering the bilingual pay differential. Improvements should be in place by the end of 2023. (F13, F14)

**R10.** The Behavioral Health Division should review the recruitment and retention of bilingual staff, including an increase to the current bilingual pay differential, in an effort to improve bilingual services. This should be completed by the end of 2023. (F13, F14)

**Commendations**

**C1.** The Grand Jury commends the Behavioral Health Division for development of a Psychiatric Healthcare Facility for children and youths which will provide much needed mental health services for this population.

**C2.** The Grand Jury commends the Behavioral Health Division’s efforts to develop a wide range of crisis care services that are not routinely offered in similar sized counties, including Mobile Emergency Response Teams for adults and youth, a Crisis Services Program, and a Psychiatric Health Facility.
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<th>Findings</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>Respond Within/ Respond By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carlos Palacios, County Administrative Officer</td>
<td>F1 – F14</td>
<td>R1 – R10</td>
<td>90 Days September 11, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Advisory Board</td>
<td>F1 – F14</td>
<td>R1 – R10</td>
<td>90 Days September 11, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiffany Cantrell-Warren, Acting Director, Behavioral Health Division</td>
<td>F1 – F14</td>
<td>R1 – R10</td>
<td>90 Days September 11, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monica Morales, Director, Health Services Agency</td>
<td>F1 – F14</td>
<td>R1 – R10</td>
<td>90 Days September 11, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajita Patel, Santa Cruz County Director of Personnel</td>
<td>F1 – F3</td>
<td>R1 – R4</td>
<td>90 Days September 11, 2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definitions

- **5150**: A 72 hour involuntary psychiatric hospitalization for adults.
- **5585**: A 72 hour involuntary psychiatric hospitalization for minors.
- **BoS**: Board of Supervisors
- **BHD**: Behavioral Health Division
- **CSP**: Crisis Stabilization Program
- **ED**: Emergency Department
- **HCB**: High Cost Beneficiary
- **HSA**: Health Services Agency
- **MERT**: Mobile Emergency Response Team
- **MERTY**: Mobile Emergency Response Team for Youths
- **MHPEQR**: Mental Health Plan External Quality Review
- **PHF**: Psychiatric Healthcare Facility
- **RFP**: Request for Proposal
- **SCC**: Santa Cruz County
- **Step-Down**: The transition from locked to unlocked psychiatric care.
- **SUD**: Substance Use Disorder
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**Websites**

Butte County [http://www.buttecounty.net/Department%20Contacts.aspx](http://www.buttecounty.net/Department%20Contacts.aspx)
San Luis Obispo County [http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/site4.aspx](http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/site4.aspx)

**Site Visits**

Mental Health Advisory Board Meetings
The 2022–2023 Santa Cruz County Civil Grand Jury
Invites the

Mental Health Advisory Board
to Respond by September 11, 2023
to the Findings and Recommendations listed below
which were assigned to them in the report titled

Diagnosing the Crisis in Behavioral Health
Underfunded, Understaffed & Overworked

Responses are invited from appointed agency and department heads, appointed committees, and non-profit agencies contracted to the county which are investigated by the grand jury. You are not required to respond by the California Penal Code (PC) §933(c); if you do, PC §933(c) requires you to make your response available to the public.

If you choose to respond, your response will be considered compliant under PC §933.05 if it contains an appropriate comment on all findings and recommendations which were assigned to you in the report.

Please follow the instructions below when preparing your response.
Instructions for Respondents

Your assigned Findings and Recommendations are listed on the following pages with check boxes and an expandable space for summaries, timeframes, and explanations. Please follow these instructions, which paraphrase PC §933.05:

1. For the Findings, mark one of the following responses with an “X” and provide the required additional information:
   a. AGREE with the Finding, or
   b. PARTIALLY DISAGREE with the Finding – specify the portion of the Finding that is disputed and include an explanation of the reasons why, or
   c. DISAGREE with the Finding – provide an explanation of the reasons why.

2. For the Recommendations, mark one of the following actions with an “X” and provide the required additional information:
   a. HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – provide a summary of the action taken, or
   b. HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – provide a timeframe or expected date for completion, or
   c. REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – provide an explanation, scope, and parameters of an analysis to be completed within six months, or
   d. WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – provide an explanation of why it is not warranted or not reasonable.

3. Please confirm the date on which you approved the assigned responses:

   We approved these responses in a regular public meeting as shown in our minutes dated ____________________________.

4. When your responses are complete, please email your completed Response Packet as a PDF file attachment to both

   The Honorable Judge Syda Cogliati Syda.Cogliati@santacruzcourt.org and
   The Santa Cruz County Grand Jury grandjury@scgrandjury.org.

If you have questions about this response form, please contact the Grand Jury by calling 831-454-2099 or by sending an email to grandjury@scgrandjury.org.
Findings

F1. The chronic understaffing in the Behavioral Health Division (BHD) and their contractors is negatively impacting the department’s ability to meet goals and to provide services in a timely and effective manner.

__ AGREE
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):

[Blank Space]
F2. The County Personnel Department has been slow to respond to the chronic understaffing in the Behavioral Health Division. It has not put measures into place to speed up the hiring process or to create competitive salaries and incentives for the non-medical personnel who staff the BHD positions. Nor have they created connections with nearby universities to groom a clinical workforce. This causes unnecessary delays in hiring mental health professionals.

__ AGREE  
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE 
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):


Both the Personnel Department and the Behavioral Health Division do not have enough analysts to allow an adequate review of their programs and systems, including analyzing the County’s hiring process. This makes it difficult for them to improve services.

__ AGREE  
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE  
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):


F4. The Crisis Stabilization Program (CSP) has been diverting patients experiencing a mental health crisis to hospital emergency departments too frequently, delaying diagnosis, delaying treatment, and placing an extra burden on the emergency departments, which are already overcrowded. The emergency departments then become responsible for finding an inpatient facility for patients who cannot be safely discharged to outpatient care, which further stretches limited resources.

☐ AGREE
☐ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
☐ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):
F5. The limited hours that the Mobile Emergency Response Team and Mobile Emergency Response Team for Youth operate interfere with a timely assessment of patients in a mental health crisis, negatively impacting patient care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AGREE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PARTIALLY DISAGREE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DISAGREE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):

[Blank field for response explanation]
F6. An inadequate number of beds at the Psychiatric Healthcare Facility (PHF) results in the practice of sending patients out of county, which negatively impacts the patient’s care, and is expensive for the Behavioral Health Division.

__ AGREE
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):

[Blank Space]
F7. The County plans to close the current Crisis Stabilization Program (CSP) to patients under 18 after June 30, 2023, and the new CSP/PHF in Live Oak will not be open until late 2024 or early 2025 compromising crisis care to minors for 18 months or more.

__ AGREE
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):

____
F8. The large number of high cost beneficiaries results in additional demands on an already overloaded behavioral health system.

__ AGREE
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):

[Response explanation field]
F9. The new Si Se Puede Behavioral Health Center in Watsonville is a big step in the right direction, and will provide significantly increased service capacity, but it is still not enough.

__ AGREE  
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE  
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):
F10. The lack of step-down care for patients completing both inpatient and outpatient treatment often results in patients relapsing and needing retreatment, which is bad for the patient and increases costs for the Behavioral Health Division.

__ AGREE
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):
F11. The high rate of homelessness and Substance Use Disorder in the County results in the Behavioral Health Division’s clients that are especially demanding and difficult to treat.

__ AGREE
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):


F12. The Behavioral Health Division is insufficiently funded and staffed to provide adequate step down care for their patients, many of whom are homeless, and/or recently released from jail, and thus have a need for support.

__ AGREE
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):
F13. Outreach to the Latino/a community is insufficient because of the lack of bilingual and bicultural staff contributing to disproportionate underutilization of mental health services within the Latino/a community.

__ AGREED
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):

[Blank space for response explanation]
F14. The current pay differential for bilingual staff is insufficient to attract and retain suitably qualified staff making adequate outreach to the Latino/a community difficult.

__ AGREE
__ PARTIALLY DISAGREE
__ DISAGREE

Response explanation (required for a response other than Agree):
Recommendations

R1. Competitive salaries and hiring incentives should be put in place for all vacant Behavioral Health Division (BHD) positions that don't already have them. The BHD should consider the salaries and hiring incentives offered by Santa Clara County as a guide - such as hiring bonuses, loan repayment, public service loan repayment, and workforce tuition. The Personnel Department must plan for increases in salary and incentives by the end of 2023 with the goal of including them in the next budget cycle. (F1, F2, F8)

- **HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED** – summarize what has been done
- **HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE** – summarize what will be done and the timeframe
- **REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS** – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months)
- **WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED** – explain why

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
R2. The County Personnel Department should plan to do an analysis of the hiring process for BHD positions and put measures into place to reduce the time it takes to hire by at least half. They should streamline the process and make use of up to date automated processes by the end of 2023. (F1, F2, F3)

HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done

HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe

REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe
(not to exceed six months)

WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
R3. The County Personnel Department should institute an annual competitive analysis for all open BHD positions that includes consideration of the extraordinarily high cost of living in Santa Cruz, benefits and incentives. This should be completed by the end of 2023. (F2, F3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
R4. The County Personnel Department should develop connections and internships with nearby universities that have Psychology and Social Work programs to groom a clinical workforce. A plan for this should be completed by the end of 2023. (F1, F2)

| _ | **HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED** – summarize what has been done |
| _ | **HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE** – summarize what will be done and the timeframe |
| _ | **REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS** – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months) |
| _ | **WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED** – explain why |

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
R5. To eliminate the frequent offloading of the Behavioral Health Division (BHD) clients to local hospital emergency departments, the Board of Supervisors and BHD should evaluate ways to increase the number of Crisis Stabilization Program chairs and psychiatric beds available, which may include planning for another adult Psychiatric Healthcare Facility. This evaluation and planning process should be completed by the end of 2023. (F5, F7)

---

HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done

HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe

REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months)

WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
R6. The Behavioral Health Division should improve the services provided by the Mobile Emergency Response Team and the Mobile Emergency Response Team for Youth by improving staffing and expanding coverage to 24/7. This should be completed by the end of 2023. (F6)

__ HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done
__ HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe
__ REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months)
__ WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
R7. The Behavioral Health Division should ensure that there is a smooth transition plan and back up plan for the treatment of children and youths from the current Crisis Stabilization Program to the planned new facility in Live Oak other than diverting them to emergency departments. This should be completed by September 30, 2023. (F8)

____ HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done

____ HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe

____ REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months)

____ WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
R8. The Behavioral Health Division should request sufficient funding from the County to provide adequate step down care so patients do not relapse and need yet more care. This request should be in place by the end of 2023. (F8, F10 – F12)

| __ | HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done |
| __ | HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe |
| __ | REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months) |
| __ | WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why |

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
R9. The Behavioral Health Division should continue to improve bilingual/bicultural outreach to the Latino/a population, including whether any language besides Spanish reaches the threshold to warrant offering the bilingual pay differential. Improvements should be in place by the end of 2023. (F13, F14)

- **HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED** – summarize what has been done
- **HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE** – summarize what will be done and the timeframe
- **REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS** – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months)
- **WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED** – explain why

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
R10. The Behavioral Health Division should review the recruitment and retention of bilingual staff, including an increase to the current bilingual pay differential, in an effort to improve bilingual services. This should be completed by the end of 2023. (F13, F14)

| | HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done |
| | HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe |
| | REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months) |
| | WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why |

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:
If you have a comment on the plan, please provide it via one of the below options:

1. On our Feedback Form at santacruzhealth.org/MHSA
2. Call and leave a message at (831) 763-8203
3. Email us at mentalhealth.servicesact@santacruzcounty.us